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ABSTRACT
In this article the asymptotic behavior of the finite sums of the elements depending on approximations, being linear combinations of approximations by I to and Stratonovich, is investigated. The outcomes of the present work are generalizations of the corresponding theorems from
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INTRODUCTION
For giving a mathematical meaning to the stochastic differential equations, in which the controlling process is a wiener process, the stochastic differentials (integrals) were defined. Initially stochastic integrals of Ito and Stratonovich were used as a base integrals, the first integral more often is operated by mathematics, and the second one is operated by natural scientists (in particular case, by physicists). Numerous generalizations of these integrals now are known: the \( \Theta \) —stochastic integral, Ogawa stochastic integral etc. [2],[3],[4].

On the basis of generalized random processes algebra the space of generalized stochastic differentials was constructed in the article [1]. And the “symmetrical” subsets, which correspond to differentials by I to and Stratonovich at an associated level, were allocated in this space. In the work [5] the problem of approximation of a stochastic \( \Theta \) —integral of the Brownian motion random process by the elements of generalized random processes algebra was investigated.

The second important class of exterior fluctuations is an appearance of the specific discrete events at the random moments of time in the mentioned space. The Poisson process is an appropriate model in this case. It is interesting to know that will turn out if refuse similarly the independence of the increments of Poisson process. In the work [6] the convergence of the integral sums of Poisson for the cases, where approximation is I to and Stratonovich approximation, was investigated. In this article the asymptotic behavior of the finite sums of the elements depending on approximations, being linear combinations of approximations by I to and Stratonovich, is investigated. The outcomes of the present work are generalizations of the corresponding theorems from [6].
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Basic outcomes
Let \( (\Omega,A,P) \) be full probability space; \( \Pi(t,\omega), t \in T, \omega \in \Omega \) be random Poisson process, which trajectories are continuous on the right; \( \rho(t), t \in R \) be non-negative indefinitely differentiable function, which carrier from \([0,1]\) and

\[
\rho(s)\,ds = 1, \text{ and } \quad \rho_n^1(t) = n\rho(nt), \quad \rho_n^2(t) = \varphi(n)\rho(\varphi(n)t), \quad n \in N,
\]

where \( \varphi(n) \) is a monotonically nondecreasing sequence. Let \( 0 < h_n < 2h_n < \cdots < mh_n = a \) be partition of the segment \( T = [0,a] \). Then, for any \( t \in T \) there are \( \tau_\varepsilon \in [0,h_n] \) and \( m_\varepsilon \in N \), that \( t = \tau_\varepsilon + m_\varepsilon h_n \).
As generalized Poisson process let us consider

$$\Pi_n^\theta(t, \omega) = \theta \Pi_n^1(t, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \Pi_n^2(t, \omega), \quad \theta \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$\Pi_n^1(t, \omega) = \int_0^{1/n} \Pi(t + s, \omega) \rho_n^1(s) \, ds, \quad \Pi_n^2(t, \omega) = \int_0^{1/n} \Pi(t + s, \omega) \rho_n^2(s) \, ds.$$

Theorem 1. Let

$$f \in C^1(R), \quad f_n = f^* \rho_n^1, \quad n \to \infty, \quad h_n \to 0, \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} = o(h_n), \quad h_n = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} [f_n(\Pi_n^\theta(\tau_z + (k - 1)h_n, \omega)) - f_n(\Pi_n^\theta(\tau_z + (k - 1)h_n, \omega))]$$

$$= \int_0^t [g(\Pi(s - 0, \omega) + \theta) - g(\Pi(s - 0, \omega))] \, d\Pi(s, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \int_0^t f(\Pi(s - 0, \omega) + \theta) \, d\Pi(s, \omega)$$

In the $L_p(T), p \geq 1$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, where $g(x) = \int_0^x f(s) \, ds$.

The integral everywhere is understood in a sense of the Lebesgue - Stieltjes for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Theorem 2. Let

$$f \in C^1(R), \quad f_n = f^* \rho_n^1, \quad n \to \infty, \quad h_n \to 0, \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} = o(h_n), \quad h_n = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} [f_n(\Pi_n^\theta(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega)) - f_n(\Pi_n^\theta(\tau_z + (k - 1)h_n, \omega))]$$

$$= \int_0^t [g(\Pi(s - 0, \omega) + \theta) - g(\Pi(s - 0, \omega))] \, d\Pi(s, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \int_0^t f(\Pi(s, \omega)) \, d\Pi(s, \omega)$$

In the $L_p(T), p \geq 1$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

As generalized Poisson process now let us consider

$$\Pi_n^\theta(t, \omega) = \theta \Pi_n^1(t, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \Pi_n^2(t, \omega), \quad \theta \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$\Pi_n^1(t, \omega) = \int_0^{1/n} \Pi(t - s, \omega) \rho_n^1(s) \, ds, \quad \Pi_n^2(t, \omega) = \int_0^{1/n} \Pi(t - s, \omega) \rho_n^2(s) \, ds.$$

Theorem 3. Let

$$f \in C^1(R), \quad f_n = f^* \rho_n^1, \quad n \to \infty, \quad h_n \to 0, \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} = o(h_n), \quad h_n = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} [f_n(\Pi_n^\theta(\tau_z + (k - 1)h_n, \omega)) - f_n(\Pi_n^\theta(\tau_z + (k - 1)h_n, \omega))]$$

$$= \int_0^t [g(\Pi(s, \omega)) - g(\Pi(s, \omega) - \theta)] \, d\Pi(s, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \int_0^t f(\Pi(s - 0, \omega)) \, d\Pi(s, \omega)$$

In the $L_p(T), p \geq 1$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$. 
Theorem 4. Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $f_n = f^* \rho_n^1$, $n \to \infty$, $h_n \to 0$, $\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} = o(h_n)$, $h_n = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$.

Then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} f_n(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega))(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega) - \pi_n^k(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega)) \to
$$

$$
\int_0^x [g(\pi(s, \omega)) - g(\pi(s, \omega) - \theta)] d\pi(s, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \int_0^x f(\pi(s, \omega) - \theta) d\pi(s, \omega)
$$

In the $L_p(T), p \geq 1$, for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proof of the theorem 4.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} f_n(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega))(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega) - \pi_n^k(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega)) =
$$

$$
\theta \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} f_n(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega))(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega) - \pi_n^k(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega)) +
$$

$$(1 - \theta) \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} f_n(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega))(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega) - \pi_n^k(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega)) =
$$

$$
\theta J_n^1(t, \omega) + (1 - \theta) J_n^2(t, \omega).
$$

Let us show that $\theta J_n^1 \to \int_0^x [g(\pi(s, \omega)) - g(\pi(s, \omega) - \theta)] d\pi(s, \omega)$.

Let $g_n(\tau) = \int_0^x f_n(s) ds, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then $g_n \to g$ uniformly at any compact set from $\mathbb{R}$.

$$
g_n\left(\pi_n^k(t, \omega)\right) - g_n\left(\pi_n^k(0, \omega)\right) =
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left(g_n\left(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega)\right) - g_n\left(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega)\right)\right) =
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left(g_n\left(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega)\right) - g_n(\theta \pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_n^2(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega))\right) +
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left(g_n(\theta \pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_n^2(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega)) - g_n\left(\pi_n^k(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega)\right)\right) =
$$

$$
J_n^1(t, \omega) + J_n^2(t, \omega).
$$

Let us consider the first addend $J_n^1(t, \omega) =
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left(g_n(\theta \pi_n^1(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_n^2(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega)) - g_n(\theta \pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1) h_n, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_n^2(\tau_z + k h_n, \omega))\right)
$$
\[ \theta \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{n}(\pi_{k}^{+}(r_{t} + kh_{n}, \omega))\left(\pi_{k}^{+}(r_{t} + kh_{n}, \omega) - \pi_{k}^{+}(r_{t} + (k-1)h_{n}, \omega)\right) + \\
\frac{1}{2} \theta^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{n} \left( \bar{a}_{n} \right) \left(\pi_{k}^{+}(r_{t} + kh_{n}, \omega) - \pi_{k}^{+}(r_{t} + (k-1)h_{n}, \omega)\right)^{2}, \]

Where \( \bar{a}_{n} \) is a point laying on a segment, connecting

\[ \theta \pi_{n}(r_{t} + (k-1)h_{n}, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}(r_{t} + kh_{n}, \omega) \]
and

\[ \theta \pi_{n}(r_{t} + kh_{n}, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}(r_{t} + (k-1)h_{n}, \omega) \]

From the lemma 1 [7, page 35] follows that

\[ \frac{1}{2} \theta^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{n} \left( \bar{a}_{n} \right) \left(\pi_{k}^{+}(r_{t} + kh_{n}, \omega) - \pi_{k}^{+}(r_{t} + (k-1)h_{n}, \omega)\right)^{2} \rightarrow 0 \]
uniformly on \( t \) for almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \)

Let us designate the norm as \( \| \cdot \| \) in \( L^{p}(\mathcal{T}) \), \( p \geq 1 \). We shall obtain that

\[ \left\| g\left(\theta \pi_{n}^{1}(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}^{2}(u - \Delta, \omega)\right) - g(\theta \pi(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi(u - \Delta, \omega)) \right\| \leq \\
\leq \left\| g\left(\theta \pi_{n}^{1}(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}^{2}(u - \Delta, \omega)\right) - g(\theta \pi_{n}^{1}(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}^{2}(u - \Delta, \omega)) \right\| + \\
\| g\left(\theta \pi_{n}^{1}(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}^{2}(u - \Delta, \omega)\right) - g(\theta \pi(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi(u - \Delta, \omega)) \right\| \leq \\
\leq \left\| \int_{0}^{1/n} g(\theta \pi_{n}^{1}(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}^{2}(u - \Delta, \omega) + s) - g(\theta \pi_{n}^{1}(u, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi_{n}^{2}(u - \Delta, \omega)) \right\| ds \right| + \\
+ \left\| g\left( \pi_{n}^{1}(u, \omega) - \pi(u, \omega)\right) \right\| + \| \pi_{n}^{2}(u - \Delta, \omega) - \pi(u - \Delta, \omega) \|, \]

where \( \Delta \geq 0, u - \Delta \geq 0 \).

The right part of the inequality aspires to zero at the \( n \rightarrow \infty \) for almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \).

Thus,

\[ g_{n} \left( \pi_{n}^{1}(t, \omega) \right) - g_{n} \left( \pi_{n}^{1}(0, \omega) \right) \rightarrow g(\pi(t, \omega)) - g(\pi(0, \omega)), \]

\[ = \int_{0}^{1} \left[ g(\pi(s, \omega)) - g(\pi(s - 0, \omega)) \right] d\pi(s, \omega) \] in the \( L^{p}(\mathcal{T}) \), \( p \geq 1 \), for almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \).

Now we shall prove that

\[ A_{n}(\omega) = \left\| \int_{0}^{1} \left[ g(\theta \pi(\mu_{i} - 0, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \pi(\mu_{i}, \omega)) - g(\pi(\mu_{i} - 0, \omega)) \right] d\pi(s, \omega) \right\| \rightarrow 0 \]

for almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \).
$$A_n(\omega) \leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left[ g_n(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega) + (1-\theta)\Pi_n^2(\tau_z + kh_n, \omega)) 
- g_n(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega) + (1-\theta)\Pi_n^2(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega)) \right] 
- \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left[ g_n(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega) + (1-\theta)\Pi_n^2(\tau_z + kh_n, \omega) \right] 
- g_n(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega) + (1-\theta)\Pi(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega)) \right| + $$

$$+ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left[ g_n(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega) + (1-\theta)\Pi(\tau_z + kh_n, \omega)) - g_n(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega) 
+ (1-\theta)\Pi(\tau_z + (k-1)h_n, \omega)) \right] 
- \sum_{t=1}^{n} g(\theta \Pi(\mu_i - 0, \omega) + (1-\theta)\Pi(\mu_i - 0, \omega)) - g(\Pi(\mu_i - 0, \omega)) \right| = $$

$$= A_n^1(\omega) + A_n^2(\omega).$$

Let us note, that $\Pi_n^2(\cdot, \omega)$ differs from $\Pi(\cdot, \omega)$ only at points $\tau_z + jh_n, 1 \leq j \leq m_n$, for which performs

$$\tau_z + jh_n \in \left( \mu_i, \mu_i + \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \right), 1 \leq j \leq m_n, 1 \leq i \leq \Pi(t, \omega).$$

the set $B_n$ of $t \in T$, for which

$$\tau_z + jh_n$$

hit in the specified intervals, has a measure can be estimated above by

$$C(\omega) \frac{1}{\varphi(n)h_n}.$$
\[ A^2_n(\omega) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m^2} \left[ \left( g_n\left( \theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_\varepsilon + (k-1)h_n, \omega) \right) + (1-\theta)\Pi(\tau_\varepsilon + kh_n, \omega) \right) - g_n\left( \theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_\varepsilon + (k-1)h_n, \omega) \right) \right] \]

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{m^2} \left[ g(\Pi(\tau_\varepsilon + (k-1)h_n, \omega)) - g(\Pi(\tau_\varepsilon + (k-1)h_n, \omega)) \right] \]

\[ = A^{21}_n(\omega) + A^{22}_n(\omega) + A^{23}_n(\omega) \]

\[ A^{21}_n(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{n(\tau, \omega)} \left[ \left( g_n\left( \theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_\varepsilon + (k_i-1)h_n, \omega) \right) + (1-\theta)\Pi(\tau_\varepsilon + k_ih_n, \omega) \right) - g_n\left( \theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_\varepsilon + (k_i-1)h_n, \omega) \right) \right] \]

For almost all \( \omega \in \Omega \), where \( \tau_\varepsilon + (k_i-1)h_n < \mu_i \leq \tau_\varepsilon + k_ih_n \)

After implementation of the theorem by Lagrange:
\[ A_{n}^{22}(\omega) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ g(\theta \Pi_{n}(\tau_{i} + (k_{i} - 1)h_{n}, \omega) + (1 - \theta)\Pi(\tau_{i} + k_{i}h_{n}, \omega) \right] - g(\theta \Pi_{n}(\tau_{i} + (k_{i} - 1)h_{n}, \omega) + (1 - \theta)\Pi(\tau_{i} + k_{i}h_{n}, \omega) \right] \right\| \leq \right. \\
\left. \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta C[\Pi_{n}(\tau_{i} + (k_{i} - 1)h_{n}, \omega) + \Pi(\tau_{i} + (k_{i} - 1)h_{n}, \omega)] \right\| \rightarrow 0 \right. \\
\text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega. \\
\left. A_{n}^{23}(\omega) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[ g(\theta \Pi(\tau_{i} + (k_{i} - 1)h_{n}, \omega) + (1 - \theta)\Pi(\tau_{i} + k_{i}h_{n}, \omega) \right] - g(\theta \Pi(\mu_{i} - 0, \omega) + (1 - \theta)\Pi(\mu_{i}, \omega) \right\] \right\| \rightarrow 0 \right. \\
\text{as a result for almost all } \omega \in \Omega. \\
\left. \theta I_{n}^{1} \xrightarrow{L_{p}(T)} \int_{0}^{T} \left[ g(\Pi(s, \omega)) - g(\Pi(s - 0, \omega)) \right] d\Pi(s, \omega) - \right. \\
\left. \Pi(s, \omega) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ g(\theta \Pi(\mu_{i} - 0, \omega) + (1 - \theta)\Pi(\mu_{i}, \omega) \right] - g(\Pi(\mu_{i} - 0, \omega)) \right] = \right. \\
\left. = \int_{0}^{T} \left[ g(\Pi(s, \omega)) - g(\Pi(s, \omega) - \theta) \right] d\Pi(s, \omega) \right. \\
\text{at realization of the theorem conditions.} \\
\text{Now let us consider } I_{n}^{2}(t, \omega). \\
\text{Let us show that } I_{n}^{2}(t, \omega) \xrightarrow{f_{T}} \int_{0}^{\tau} f(\Pi(s, \omega) - \theta) d\Pi(s, \omega) \text{ in the } L_{p}(T), p \geq 1, \text{ for almost all } \omega \in \Omega. \\
\left. \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_{\varepsilon}} f_{n}(\Pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{i} + kh_{n}, \omega)) (\Pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{i} + kh_{n}, \omega) - \Pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{i} + (k - 1)h_{n}, \omega)) - \int_{0}^{T} f(\Pi(s, \omega) - \theta) d\Pi(s, \omega) \right\| \leq \right. \\
\left. \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_{\varepsilon}} (f_{n}(\Pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{i} + kh_{n}, \omega)) - f(\Pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{i} + kh_{n}, \omega)) (\Pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{i} + kh_{n}, \omega) - \Pi_{n}^{\varepsilon}(\tau_{i} + (k - 1)h_{n}, \omega)) \right\| + \right. \\
\text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega. \right. \]
Using the representation \( f_n \), we shall obtain that \( I_{n1}^{21}(t, \omega) \to 0 \).

\[
I_{n2}^{22}(t, \omega) = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left[ f(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_k + k h_n, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \Pi_n^2(\tau_k + k h_n, \omega)) - f(\theta \Pi_n^1(\tau_k + k h_n, \omega) + (1 - \theta) \Pi_n^2(\tau_k + k h_n, \omega)) \right] \right|
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \theta) C \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \left( \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau_k + k h_n} \left[ \Pi_n^2(\tau_k + k h_n, \omega) - \Pi_n^2(\tau_k + (k-1) h_n, \omega) \right]^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{c}{\varphi(\tau_n h_n)} \to 0
\]

at the realization of the theorem conditions.
Similarly, thus validity of the theorem is established.

Note: the theorems 1, 2, and 3 are proved similarly.
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