

Efficacy of some biocides against Potato Leafhopper, *Empoasca fabae* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae), on cowpea

Nihad Aziz Khamas**, Hussein Ali Salim*, Hussein Ali Mutney** and Ferial Bahgat Hormoz***

* Directorate of Diyala Agriculture, *** Collage of Agriculture , University of Diyala, *** Collage of Agriculture, University of Baghdad

h_salim11111@yahoo.com

Abstract

A field study was carried out in Khan Bani Saad district, Diyala province on 2015 to evaluate bio pesticides viz. Spinosad (*Saccharopolyspora spinosa*) , BSA3 (*Beauveria bassiana*) , Mycotal (*Lecanicillium muscarium*) and using chemical insecticide Hatchi hatchi 15% EC against Potato Leafhopper *Empoasca fabae* on cowpea. the rate of nymphs and adults population was significantly reduced in treatments Hatchi hatchi (1.8,3.7), Mycotal (3.3,4.7) , Spinosad (3.3,5.6)and BSA3 (3.7,6.4) compared with control (6.4,9.2) respectively. The results was showed that superiority of Spinosad treatment in mortality of nymphs of *E. fabae* to (44.76%) with significant differences from other treatments followed by mycotal (41.31%), and then BSA3, which amounts to (29.77%). Mycotal was showed high mortality in the adults of *E. fabae* to 50.6% then Spinosad (39.49%) and BSA3 (30.15%) . This study shows that the use of one of these biocides in the field may be sufficient to reduce the numbers of nymphs and adults during the study season.

Keywords: Spinosad (*Saccharopolyspora spinosa*) , BSA3 (*Beauveria bassiana*) , Mycotal (*Lecanicillium muscarium*) and *Empoasca fabae*

Introduction

Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) is one of the main grain legumes with high protein content. It is cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions and widely distributed throughout the world, The seeds are most often consumed , it is also used as a fodder [1;2] . The potato leafhopper, *Empoasca fabae* (Harris) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae), is a sap-feeding insect that attacks a variety of plants including potato, alfalfa and soybean, [3]. The first record of *E. fabae* date back to 1841 when it was detected in Massachusetts, USA as a pest on *Vicia faba* L [4]. The feeding by the potato leafhopper causes a condition known as "hopper burn", Injury results in a yellowing at the tip of the leaves, in a V-shaped pattern. Both adults and nymphs are injurious, but nymphs can reduce yields more than twice as much as an equal number of adults. Toxins in the saliva of potato leafhopper induce swelling of cells [5]. Using synthetic chemicals as insect pest control has given rise to a number of problems, including adverse effects on the environment and human health [6]. Biological control agents are considered as alternatives to the synthetic pesticides due to their perceived increased level of safety and minimal environmental impacts [7;8] . *B. bassiana* is the most widely used entomopathogenic fungi and have been used for biological control of agricultural pests [9]. *Lecanicillium muscarium* (previously known as *verticillium lecanii*) is a well-known pathogen of arthropods, it is a very common fungus attacking different insects and capable of infecting a wide range of insects [10]. Spinosad is a mixture of spinosyn A and spinosyn D and it's a secondary metabolite produces by the fermentation from the bacterium, *Saccharopolyspora spinosa*. This product is active against caterpillars, leaf miners, trips, Colorado potato beetle and some borers [11]. The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of the microbial pesticide BSA3 (*Beauveria bassiana*), mycotal (*Lecanicillium muscarium*) and Spinosad (*Saccharopolyspora spinosa*) against Potato Leafhopper *Empoasca fabae* on cowpea .

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in the Khan Bani Saad region, Diyala province, Iraq during 25/4/2015.



Collection of biocides

BSA3 (*Beauveria bassiana*) was obtained from the National Center for Organic Agriculture - Ministry of Agriculture, which was loaded on millet seeds and used at a rate of 4 g / liter of water , the millet seeds were soaked in water for one hour then separated from the suspension by muslin cloth and number of spores was calculated in the Hemacytometer which was 1×10^8 spores / mL, then added a drop of material (Tween -20) concentration 0.01 to the fungus suspension, which helps to spread spores in the suspension [12]. Mycotal (*Lecanicillium muscariium*) produced by Koppert company (Netherlands) and used 4 g / L to obtain concentration 1×10^7 spore / ml according to recommendations of this company. Spinosad (*Saccharopolyspora spinosa*) produced by Dow Agro Sciences company and used at rate 0.25 ml / liter according to the recommendations. Chemical pesticide Hatchi hatchi 15% EC , active ingredient (Tolfenpyrad) produced by Nihon Nohyaku of Japan at a concentration of 20 ml / L as recommended by the company. treatment of control was sprayed with water only.

Field experiment

seeds of cowpea were sown after preparing and plowing of the field with area 2 acre on lines with length 12 m, the distance between lines and plants were 2 m and 40 cm respectively , the seedlings were spraying with microbial pesticides after ten days from the emergences. The leaf hopper population (nymphs and adults) were recorded from each treatment early in the morning during the period of growth of the crop until harvest for the period from 18/5/2015 to 20/6/2015 after 2,5,10,14,21 and 30 day from the spraying , three plants were selected from each replicate for insect population counts taking 3 leaves from each plant and put in bags of polyethylene then transferred to the laboratory for examined by a magnifying glass or used light microscope when needed after placing the samples in the refrigerator for 1-2 hours to inhibit the movement of insects. The insect population reduction percentage was computed through Abbott formula [13].

n in T after treatment

Corrected % = $(1 - \frac{\text{-----}}{\text{-----}}) \times 100$

n in Co after treatment

Where : n = Insect population , T = treated , Co = control

Statistical analysis

The factorial experiment was carried out according to the complete randomized blocks design (RCBD) in the field include five treatments with three replications and the results were compared using the least significant difference of (L.S.D) below the level of probability 0.05 and the program [14]. SAS/STAT. User's Guide for personal computers. Release 6.12 SAS Institute Inc., Cary . NC, U.S.A.

was used to analyze the statistical data.

Results and discussion

Table 1 illustrated that all treatments were recorded reduction in population of nymphs as compared with control. *S. spinosa* was recorded higher reduction in population of nymphs 1.5 after 5 and 14 days of spraying whereas *B. bassiana* 2.0 after 10 days while *L. muscariium* was superior in reduction of nymphs population to 6.0 and 1.3 after 21 and 30 days from spraying, the rate of population was significantly reduced in treatments Hatchi hatchi 1.8, *L. muscariium* 3.3 , *S. spinosa* 3.5 and *B. bassiana* 3.7 as compared with control 6.4.

Table (1): Numbers of nymphs of potato leafhopper *E. fabae* before and after spraying

Treatments	Numbers of nymphs before spraying	Numbers of nymphs after spraying						
		Days						Rate
		2	5	10	14	21	30	
<i>B. bassiana</i>	2.2	4.0	2.3	2.0	2.3	9.5	2.5	3.7
<i>L. muscariium</i>	2.2	4.0	2.4	3.0	3.5	6.0	1.3	3.3
<i>S. spinosa</i>	3.7	4.0	1.5	3.0	1.5	9.5	1.5	3.5
Hatchi hatchi	1.5	0.9	1.0	1.0	3.2	3.2	1.2	1.8
Control	4.0	4.2	5.3	5.0	10.0	11.5	2.5	6.4
L.S.D 0.05	1.4	1.4	2.1	2.8	2.8	0.7	1.4	0.5

L. muscariium was found effective in reducing the population of adults with significant differences from other treatments after 10,21 and 30 days from spraying and also rate of adults numbers which reached 3.3,3.5,2.5 and 4.7 respectively (Table 2).

Table (2): Numbers of adults of potato leafhopper *E. fabae* before and after spraying

Treatments	Numbers of adults before spraying	Numbers of adults after spraying						
		Days						Rate
		2	5	10	14	21	30	
<i>B. bassiana</i>	3.0	8.2	6.0	6.2	6.7	8.2	3.5	6.4
<i>L. muscariium</i>	3.0	8.2	6.0	3.3	5.0	3.5	2.5	4.7
<i>S. spinosa</i>	3.0	8.2	6.0	4.9	5.0	6.0	3.5	5.6
Hatchi hatchi	4.0	3.0	3.5	5.5	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.7
Control	3.0	13.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	8.0	6.2	9.2
L.S.D 0.05	1.6	2.2	2.3	1.5	2.1	1.6	1.7	0.83

All treatments after the spraying were significantly different in mortality of nymphs of leaf hopper , Hatchi hatchi was recorded higher corrected percentage at all intervals after spraying, whereas *S. spinosa* , *B. bassiana* and *L. muscariium* were recorded higher corrected percentage with significantly differences after 14 days which was 90.0, 77.0, 67.0% respectively, while the statically analysis revealed significant differences in rate of corrected efficacy percentage of nymphs among treatments viz Hatchi hatchi 72.4 % followed by *S. spinosa* 44.7 %, *L. muscariium* 41.3 % and *B. bassiana* 29.7 % (Table 3) .

Table. 3 Efficacy of some biocides and chemical pesticide against nymphs of potato leafhopper *E. fabae* on cowpea plants

Treatments	Days						Rate
	2	5	10	14	21	30	
<i>B. bassiana</i>	0.0	56.6	60.0	77.0	15.0	0.0	29.7
<i>L. muscariium</i>	0.0	50.9	40.0	67.0	46.9	50.0	41.3
<i>S. spinosa</i>	6.9	75.4	40.0	90.0	17.7	38.4	44.7
Hatchi hatchi	86.0	81.1	80.0	67.0	70.8	50.0	72.4
L.S.D 0.05	2.5	5.3	6.4	3.04	2.8	5.0	2.0

Data presented in Table 4 revealed that all treatments were recorded significantly different in corrected percentage of adults of leaf hopper at all intervals after spraying, Hatchi hatchi was recorded higher corrected percentage reached 76.9, 67.0 and 63.8 % at 2,5 and 14 days respectively, *L. muscariium* was recorded highest significantly different in corrected percentage reached 67.0, 60.2 and 63.4 at 10,21 and 30 days respectively followed by *S. spinosa* and *B. bassiana* .

Table 4: Efficacy of some biocides and chemical pesticide against adults of potato leafhopper *E. fabae* on cowpea plants

Treatments	Days						Rate
	2	5	10	14	21	30	
<i>B. bassiana</i>	36.1	40.0	37.0	20.4	0.0	47.6	30.1
<i>L. muscariium</i>	36.1	37.0	67.0	39.7	60.2	63.4	50.6
<i>S. spinosa</i>	33.8	34.0	54.0	39.7	27.7	47.6	39.4
Hatchi hatchi	76.9	67.0	44.0	63.8	51.8	42.8	57.7
L.S.D 0.05	3.2	4.3	2.8	3.4	3.2	4.1	3.0

The previous studies were confirmed that spinosad remains active and performed well and has strong insecticidal activity against Lepidoptera, Spodoptera, Diptera, and some Coleoptera as well as stored grains [15]. *S. spinosa* (Spinosad 45 SC) was very effective against the sucking pests such aphids on ladyfinger [16]. Spinosad is introduced in the IPM due his action [17]. Spinosad is affecting on nerve receptors, including the G-amino butyric acid (GABA), which is similar to the toxic effect of chemical pesticides from the neonicotinoid group [18]. The results are agreed with [19] that reported the number of whitefly larvae was decreased with increasing concentrations of *L. muscariium*, the use of *L. muscariium* for integrated pest management of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* was successful to control this pest. Pathogenicity of *L. muscariium* involves adhesion of spores to the insect cuticle, germination, penetration and internal colonization culminating in host death [20]. The findings are similar to [21] who reported that the maximum daily mortality (13.3%) caused by *Beauveria*

bassiana was observed on day 8 against *Uvarovistia zebra*. Application of *B. bassiana* was killed 100% of *B. tabaci* adults [22]. *B. bassiana* was found effective in reducing the population of whitefly in tomato crops [23].

Conclusions

The results revealed the efficacy of all biocides against potato leafhopper *E. fabae* on cowpea plants. Spinosad presented a quick action and a high mortality followed by *L. muscarium* and *B. bassiana*. We conclude that biocides can be incorporated in IPM programme and organic agriculture in the future.

Conflict of Interest:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgements:

Authors wish to thank Ministry of Agriculture, Directorate of Diyala Agriculture for awarding the opportunity to accomplish this work.

References

- [1] **Pasquet R.S. and Baudoin J.P., 2001.** Cowpea. In: Charrier A., Jacquot M., Hamon S. and Nicolas D. (eds), Tropical Plant Breeding. Science publishers, Enfield, pp. 177–198.
- [2] **Fery, R. L.; Singh, B. B. ,1997.** Cowpea genetics: a review of the recent literature. In: SINGH, B. B. et al. (Ed.) Advances in cowpea research. Ibadan: IITA: JIRCAS,. cap. 2, p. 13-15.
- [3] **Lamp, W. O., G. R. Nielsen, and S. D. Danielson., 1994.** Patterns among host plants of the potato leafhopper, *Empoasca fabae* (Homoptera: Cicadellidae). J. Kans. Entomol. Soc. 67: 354–368.
- [4] **Harris, T. W. 1841.** A report on the insects of Massachusetts, injurious to vegetation. Folsom, Wells, and Thurston, Printers to the University, Cambridge, MA.
- [5] **Trivedi , T.P. , Rajagopal , D. , 1999 .** Integrated Pest Management in Potato . In: Upadhyay , R.K. , Mukerji , K.G. , Dubey , O.P. (Eds.), IPM System in Agriculture, Cash Crops, Vol. 6 , Aditya Books Pvt. Ltd. , New Delhi, India , pp. 299 – 313 .
- [6] **Anonymous 2004.** Green pesticides in insect pest management. A report on the National Symposium on Green Pesticides for Insect Pest Management, Entomological Research Institute, Chennai. Current Science. 86(8): 1059- 1060.
- [7] **Cotxarrera, L., M.I. Trillas-Gay, C. Steinberg and C. Alabouvette, 2002.** Use of sewage sludge compost and *Trichoderma asperellum* isolates to suppress *Fusarium* wilt of tomato. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 34: 467–476.
- [8] **Brimner, T.A. and G.J. Boland, 2003.** A review of the non-target effect of fungi used to biologically control plant diseases. Agriculture, Ecosystem and environment 100. 3-16.
- [9] **Shah PA, Kooyman C, Paraiso A. 1997.** Surveys for fungal pathogens of locusts and grasshoppers in Africa and the Near East. Mem Entomol Soc Can 171: 27-35.
- [10] **Parker BL, Skinner M, Costa SD, Gouli S, Ried W, El-Bouhsini M, 2003.** Entomopathogenic fungi *Eurygaster integriceps* Puton (Hemiptera: Scutelleridae): collection and characterization for development. Biological Control.; 27: 260:272.

- [11] **Elzen, G.W.; Elzen, P.J. and King, E.G., 1998.** Laboratory toxicity of insecticide residues to *Orius insidiosus*, *Geocoris punctipes*, *Hippoclamia convergens* and *Chyrosoperla carnea*. Southw. Entomol., 23: 335-342.
- [12] **Amiri, Salam Abbas 2009.** Determination of the sources of heterogeneity of some isolates of *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. and its efficiency against *Sesamia cretica* Led. (Lep. : Noctuida) under field conditions, doctoral thesis. Baghdad University . faculty of Agriculture .
- [13] **Abbott, W. S. 1925.** A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide . Jou. Econ. Entomol. ; 18 : 265 – 267.
- [14] **SAS , 2001.** SAS/STAT. User's Guide for personal computers. Release6.12 SAS Institute Inc., Cary . NC, U.S.A.
- [15] **Mohamed Braham and Lobna Hajji, 2012.** Management of *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae) with Insecticides on Tomatoes, Insecticides - Pest Engineering, 334-354.
- [16] **Ghosh SK, Mahapatra GSS, Chakraborty G ,2009.** Field efficacy of plant extracts and microbial insecticides against aphid (*Aphis gossypii*) infesting okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Redia, Itali, XC11: 249-252.
- [17] **Thompson G.D., Michel K.H., Zao R.C., Mynderse J.S., Mosburg C.T., Worden T.V., Chio E.H., Sparks T.C., Hutchins S.H., 1997.** The discovery of *Saccharopolyspora spinosa* and a new class of insect control product. Down to earth, vol. 52, nr. 1, p. 1-5.
- [18] **Salgado, V. 1998.** Studies on the mode of action of spinosad: insect symptoms and physiological correlates. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. ; 60:91-102
- [19] **Ren S.X., S. Ali, Z. Huang and J.H. Wu ,2010.** *Lecanicillium muscarium* as microbial insecticide against whitefly and its interaction with other natural enemies, current research, technology and education topics in applied microbiology and microbial biotechnology,339-348.
- [20] **Gindin G, Barash NI, Raccah B., 1994.**Effect of endotoxic compounds isolates from *Verticillium lecanii* on the sweet potato whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*. Phytoparasitica.; 22: 189-196.
- [21] **Asghar Mohammadbeigi and G. Port,2013.** Efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* against *Uvarovistia zebra* (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae) via contact and ingestion ,Intl J Agri Crop Sci. Vol., 5 (2), 138-146.
- [22] **Zaki, H.N. 1998.** Efficiency of the entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals), against *Aphis crassivora* Koch and *Bemesiatabaci*, Gennadius. Journal of Applied Entomology 22: 397-399.
- [23] **NARC, 2011.** Action research on technology generation for the management of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius). Annual Technical Report 2009-11. Entomology Division, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Khumaltar, Lalitpur 29-30.